# Written Exam at the Department of Economics winter 2016-17 <br> Financial Econometrics A 

Final Exam

Date: January 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2017$
(3-hour closed book exam)

Please note that the language used in your exam paper must correspond to the language for which you registered during exam registration.

## This exam question consists of 5 pages in total

NB: If you fall ill during the actual examination at Peter Bangsvej, you must contact an invigilator in order to be registered as having fallen ill. Then you submit a blank exam paper and leave the examination. When you arrive home, you must contact your GP and submit a medical report to the Faculty of Social Sciences no later than seven (7) days from the date of the exam.

Please note there are a total of $\mathbf{9}$ questions that you should provide answers to. That is, $\mathbf{4}$ questions under Question $A$, and $\mathbf{5}$ under Question B.

## Question A:

Consider the following log-linear Realized GARCH model given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{t}=\sigma_{t} z_{t}, \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $z_{t} \sim$ i.i.d. $N(0,1)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}\right) & =1+\alpha \log \left(y_{t-1}\right)  \tag{A.2}\\
\log \left(y_{t}\right) & =\gamma+\phi \log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}\right)+u_{t} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with $u_{t} \sim$ i.i.d. $N(0,1)$ and $\alpha, \gamma, \phi \in \mathbb{R}$. It is assumed that the processes $\left(z_{t}\right)$ and $\left(u_{t}\right)$ are independent. Here $y_{t}$ is some observed positive exogenous covariate as for example the realized volatility.

Question A.1: Use the drift criterion to show that $\log \left(y_{t}\right)$ is weakly mixing with $E\left[\left(\log \left(y_{t}\right)\right)^{2}\right]<\infty$, if $|\alpha \phi|<1$.
Given that $\log \left(y_{t}\right)$ is weakly mixing we do also have that the joint process $\left(x_{t}, \log \left(y_{t}\right)\right)$ is weakly mixing.

Question A.2: Let $\theta=(\alpha, \gamma, \phi)$ denote the model parameters. Given a sample $\left(x_{t}, \log \left(y_{t}\right)\right), t=0,1, \ldots, T$, the joint log-likelihood is (up to a constant term and a scaling factor)

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{T}(\theta) & =\sum_{t=1}^{T} l_{t}(\theta) \\
l_{t}(\theta) & =-\log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)\right)-\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)}-\left[\log \left(y_{t}\right)-\gamma-\phi \log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)\right)\right]^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)\right)=1+\alpha \log \left(y_{t-1}\right)$.
Show that

$$
\frac{\partial l_{t}(\theta)}{\partial \alpha}=\left\{\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)}-1+2 \phi\left[\log \left(y_{t}\right)-\gamma-\phi \log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)\right)\right]\right\} \log \left(y_{t-1}\right) .
$$

Hint: You may want to use that

$$
\frac{\partial l_{t}(\theta)}{\partial \alpha}=\frac{\partial l_{t}(\theta)}{\partial \log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)\right)} \frac{\partial \log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)\right)}{\partial \alpha} .
$$

Question A.3: Let $\theta_{0}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \gamma_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)$ denote the vector of true parameter values. Define $S_{T}(\theta)=\partial L_{T}(\theta) / \partial \alpha$.
Assume that $\left(x_{t}, \log \left(y_{t}\right)\right)$ is weakly mixing and satisfies the drift criterion such that $E\left[\left(\log \left(y_{t-1}\right)\right)^{2}\right]<\infty$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} S_{T}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, v), \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=\left(2+4 \phi_{0}^{2}\right) E\left[\left(\log \left(y_{t-1}\right)\right)^{2}\right]$.
Explain briefly what the property (A.4) can be used for.
Hint: Use that $\log \left(y_{t}\right)-\gamma_{0}-\phi_{0} \log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)=u_{t}$. Moreover, you may want to recall that $E\left[z_{t}^{4}\right]=3$.

Question A.4: For the model (A.1)-(A.3), the one-period VaR at risk level $\kappa, \operatorname{VaR}_{T, 1}^{\kappa}$, is defined as

$$
P_{T}\left(x_{T+1}<-\operatorname{VaR}_{T, 1}^{\kappa}\right)=\kappa, \quad \kappa \in(0,1),
$$

where $P_{T}(\cdot)$ denotes the conditional distribution of $x_{T+1}$. It can be shown (but do not do so) that

$$
\operatorname{VaR}_{T, 1}^{\kappa}=-\sigma_{T+1} \Phi^{-1}(\kappa),
$$

where $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot)$ denotes the inverse cdf of the standard normal distribution. Explain briefly how you would compute an estimate of $\mathrm{VaR}_{T, 1}^{\kappa}$.

## Question B:

Consider the following switching model given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{t}=\mu 1_{\left(s_{t}=1\right)}+\varepsilon_{t}, \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-valued constant and $s_{t}$ can take value 1 or 2 . Moreover, $\varepsilon_{t} \sim$ i.i.d. $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$, and we assume that the processes $\left(s_{t}\right)$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{t}\right)$ are independent. Suppose that $s_{t}$ is a two-state Markov chain with transition probabilities $P\left(s_{t}=j \mid s_{t-1}=i\right)=p_{i j}, i, j=1,2$.
Note that $1_{\left(s_{t}=1\right)}=1$ if $s_{t}=1$ and $1_{\left(s_{t}=1\right)}=0$ if $s_{t}=2$.

Question B.1: Suppose that $\mu=0$. Explain if $x_{t}$ is weakly mixing.
What should hold for $p_{11}$ and $p_{22}$ for $s_{t}$ to be weakly mixing?
Question B.2: Next, assume that $s_{t}$ is observed. Moreover, suppose that the transition probabilities satisfy $p_{11}=\left(1-p_{22}\right)=p \in(0,1)$ such that $s_{t}$ is and i.i.d. process with $P\left(s_{t}=1\right)=p$ and $P\left(s_{t}=2\right)=1-p$.
Show that for $t \geq 1$, the joint conditional density of $\left(x_{t}, s_{t}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{t}, s_{t} \mid x_{t-1}, s_{t-1}, \ldots, x_{0}, s_{0}\right)= & {\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(x_{t}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) p\right]^{1_{\left(s_{t}=1\right)}} } \\
& \times\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)(1-p)\right]^{1_{\left(s_{t}=2\right)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Question B.3: Maintaining the assumptions from Question B.2, let $\theta=$ $\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, p\right)$ denote the model parameters. The log-likelihood function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{T}(\theta)= & \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\{\log (p)-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)-\frac{\left(x_{t}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\} 1_{\left(s_{t}=1\right)} \\
& +\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\{\log (1-p)-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)-\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\} 1_{\left(s_{t}=2\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\hat{\mu}$ denote the maximum likelihood estimator for $\mu$.
Show that

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} x_{t} 1_{\left(s_{t}=1\right)}}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} 1_{\left(s_{t}=1\right)}} .
$$

Moreover, let $\hat{p}$ denote the maximum likelihood estimator for $p$. Derive $\hat{p}$ and argue that $\hat{p} \xrightarrow{P} p$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Question B.4: Suppose that the process $\left(s_{t}\right)$ is unobserved, but does still satisfy the i.i.d. assumption, i.e. $p_{11}=\left(1-p_{22}\right)=p \in(0,1)$. Then the estimators derived in Question B. 3 are infeasible. Instead we may introduce

$$
\tilde{L}_{T}(\theta)=E\left[L_{T}(\theta) \mid x_{1}, \ldots x_{T}\right] .
$$

It holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}_{T}(\theta)= & \sum_{t=!}^{T}\left\{\log (p)-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)-\frac{\left(x_{t}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\} P_{t}^{\star}(1) \\
& +\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\{\log (1-p)-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)-\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\}\left(1-P_{t}^{\star}(1)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{t}^{\star}(1)=P\left(s_{t}=1 \mid x_{t}\right)$.
Explain briefly the role of $\tilde{L}_{T}(\theta)$ for the estimation of $\theta$.

Question B.5: The following figure shows the daily log-returns of the S\&P 500 index for the period January 4, 2010 to September 17, 2015.


Discuss briefly whether the swithcing model in (B.1) is adequate for modelling the main features of the log-returns. Would another type of Markov switching model be more suitable?

